UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,893	07/23/2001	Jose Walter		3549
Hendricks and	7590 09/05/2007 Associates		EXAM	INER
P.O. Box 2509			BUMGARNER, MELBA N	
Fairfax, VA 22	031-2509	t	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
		,		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/909,893	WALTER, JOSE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melba Bumgarner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	) July 2006					
	his action is non-final.					
	, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —					
closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Disposition of Claims						
· .		•				
	4) Claim(s) 7,8,15,18 and 21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) 7.8,15,18 and 21 is/are rejected.	•	· ·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		hy the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	Examination (voto the attached	office Action of John 170-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3 Copies of the certified copies of the present the		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview C	Summany (PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_				

Application/Control Number: 09/909,893

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: 1) It does not identify the citizenship of each inventor. 2) It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. (i.e. it should read "material to patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37 CFR 1.56.")

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 21 objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 21 "sai", "hald", "coplementary", and "complimentary" should read –complementary--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 8, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 21, it is not clear what is meant by "each said (half) of each said arm of each said tray" in that there are no halves of arms claimed. In claims 7 and 8, it is unclear whether "each said hinge means" means the half of hinge means and/or complimentary hinge means.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,893 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mogensen (6,149,428). Mogensen discloses an articulator tray having at least one opening with repeating broadened and narrowed regions 3 in the opening with pins (taps) 7 inserted in the broadened regions.
- 7. Claims 7, 8, and 21 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cho (5,622,497). Cho discloses an articulator consisting of a first tray 16 and a second tray 18, the trays being identical and having at least one opening 44 with repeating broadened and narrowed regions (column 4 line 47), each tray having a first arm and a second arm attached thereto (figure 5), wherein the first art bears a half of a hinge means and the second arm bears a half of a complementary hinge means and interacts as shown. The hinge means allow both horizontal and lateral movement (column 2 line 50) and is a ball joint (column 7 line 29).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,893

**Art Unit: 3732** 

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogensen in view of Cho. Mogensen discloses a method of making a dental model comprising inserting at least two pins into the tray, loading stone onto the tray into which pins have been inserted (column 3 line 13); however, Mogensen does not explicitly show forcing the stone against an impression. It is known in the art that the cast of dental model is made by the use of an impression; however, Cho is applied to show dental model made by forcing the stone loaded onto the tray against an impression (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the step of Cho in order to create the dental model of a patient's mouth.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As to new claim 21, it is believed that the prior art shows the claimed limitations as understood. Claims 7 and 8 are now dependent upon new claim 21.

### **Conclusion**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Melta Buongaine

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner Primary Examiner